Correct nodestrian hobaviour can be unpredictable

TO PASS 80% or higher

Module 4 Graded Quiz

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE 100%

1.	Which of the following best describes an example of a maneuver-based prediction assumption for motion prediction?	1 / 1 point
	The kinodynamic constraints on a vehicle restricts its potential set of motions	
	The behaviour of other agents on the road reduces the space of potential actions	
	Certain vehicle models restrict vehicle maneuverability, reducing the prediction space	
	The operating domain of a vehicle restricts the number of feasible or probable maneuvers it can take	
	Correct Correct, the operating domain restricts which maneuvers are feasible depending on the conditions of the scenario.	
2.	Which of the following best describes an example of an interactions-aware prediction assumption for motion prediction?	1 / 1 point
	Engine dynamics are affected by pedestrian motion, restricing the space of potential actions	
	The operating domain of a vehicle restricts the number of feasible or probable maneuvers it can take	
	The kinodynamic constraints on a vehicle restricts its potential set of motions	
	The behaviour of other agents on the road reduces the space of potential actions	
	Correct Correct, the behaviour of other agents results in interactions with the ego vehicle that restricts the ego vehicle's behaviour.	
3.	Which of the following are aspects of pedestrian motion?	1 / 1 point
	Potential to leave designated areas unpredictably	

✓ Low top speed, but rapid changes in direction and speed are possible
Correct Correct, pedestrians move slowly but with a high variance in direction.
 High top speed, but must obey the rules of the road They often have designated lanes on roads due to their slower speed
Which of the following are scenarios for which constant velocity estimation provides a useful estimate? Roundabouts Straight roads
 Correct Correct - Straight roads are the only situation where constant velocity assumptions can be true.
Turns and curved roadsTraffic light controlled intersections
Which of the following are issues with constant velocity prediction? Doesn't fully account for vehicle kinodynamics
 Correct Correct, constant velocity assumptions ignore potential acceleration of the vehicle.
☐ Computationally expensive✓ Ignores regulatory elements
 Correct Correct, a constant velocity prediction is unaware of regulatory elements. Ignores the shape of the road

4.

5.

6.	Which of the following are position-based assumptions for map-aware prediction algorithms?
	Vehicles driving down a lane are likely to follow that lane
	Correct Correct, vehicles are likely to follow their current lane based on their position in the map.
	A high-curvature road segment necessitates a slower vehicle speed
	✓ Lane changes can be predicted based on the state of the blinker light of a vehicle
	 Correct Correct, this is possible if the other vehicle is in a position to perform a lane change in the map.
	Stop signs will cause vehicles to decelerate to a complete stop
7.	Which of the following are velocity-based assumptions for map-aware prediction algorithms?
	A high-curvature road segment necessitates a slower vehicle speed
	 Correct Correct, high curvature results in high lateral forces, restricting speed.
	Lane markings enforce constraints on the location of vehicles in the road
	Stop signs will cause vehicles to decelerate to a complete stop
	✓ Correct Correct, this is required by law.
	A yellow light will cause vehicles to reduce their velocity as they approach an intersection
	 Correct Correct, this is a necessity for other vehicles to drive safely.
8.	True or false, the more constraints added to our prediction model, the less generalizable it is to all possible traffic scenarios.

Correct

True

Correct, the road shape does not affect a constant velocity prediction.

	U Faise	
	 Correct Correct, it can become too specialized to specific scenarios. 	
9.	True or false, in the case of the multi-hypothesis prediction approach, the most likely nominal behaviour of a dynamic obstacle based on its state, appearance, and track information is taken as the object's predicted motion. True False	1/1 point
	Correct Correct, the multi-hypothesis approach instead assigns probabilities to each of the nominal maneuvers available to the dynamic obstacle.	
10.	Which of the following are properties of multi-hypothesis prediction approaches? Offers alternative predictions, allowing for fast replanning in case new information arises	1 / 1 point
	 Correct Correct, there are multiple predictions available. 	
	Provides a probability distribution over nominal predictions based on the state of the environment.	
	 Correct Correct, each hypothesis has an associated probability. 	
	Can result in ambiguous predictions	
	 Correct Correct, there is not always a clear dominant prediction. 	
	Provides a maximum likelihood estimate based on the information present in the current traffic scenario	
11.	At a high level, what best describes the two fundamental steps in computing time to collision?	1 / 1 point
	Estimating the first vehicle position, then estimating the other vehicle's velocity	
	Running trajectory rollout to generate potential paths, then checking each path for intersection	

	points		
		the location of a collision point along the predicted paths of the dynamic objects, then the amount of time to reach said collision point	
	O None of th	ne above	
	✓ Correct	t ct, this outlines the general process of computing time to collision.	
12.		he simulation based approach propogates the movement of every vehicle in the scene over orizon into the future, where the state is computed at multiple time steps along the horizon.	1 / 1 point
	TrueFalse		
	✓ Correct	t ct, with this method we are forward simulating the entire scenario.	
13.		pased approaches, which of the following are some of the common simplifying assumptions ath intersection computation?	1 / 1 point
	Identifying	g collision points based on path intersection points	
	✓ Correct	t ct, these are often easy to compute.	
	Assuming	a constant speed profile along an object's predicted path	
	✓ Correct	t ct, this helps constrain the space of possible collision points.	
	Assuming	the objects ignore regulatory elements	
	Estimating	g spatial occupancy using simple geometric primitives	

	✓ Correct Correct, these can allow for efficient computation.	
14.	Suppose two vehicles are approximated with a single circle each. The center of one circle is at (1.0 m, 3.0 m) and the other is at (4.0 m, 2.0 m). If the radius of both collision checking circles is 1.5 m, will a collision be detected?	1 / 1 point
	Yes No	
	Correct Correct, the distance between the circle centers is greater than the sum of the collision circle radii.	
15.	Suppose two vehicles, a leading vehicle and a following vehicle, are moving along a straight line. The center of the leading vehicle is 20 m ahead of the center of the following vehicle. The leading vehicle is moving at 15 m/s, and the following vehicle is moving at 20 m/s. The distance from the center to the front bumper of both vehicles is 2.5 m, and the distance from the center to the rear bumper of both vehicles is 2.5 m. What is the time to collision in this scenario?	1 / 1 point
	3	
	✓ Correct Correct	