

✓ **Congratulations! You passed!**

TO PASS 80% or higher

Keep Learning

GRADE
100%

Module 5 Graded Quiz

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

100%

1. True or false, behavioural planning does not need to take dynamic obstacles into consideration, as it is too low level and should be handled by the local planner.

1 / 1 point

- True
- False



Correct

Correct, dynamic obstacles are at the correct level of abstraction for behavioral planning and therefore are taken into consideration during the behavioural planning process.

2. As an autonomous vehicle approaches an intersection, which of the following best describes the role of a behavioural planner?

1 / 1 point

- Plan when and where to stop, how long to stay stopped for, and when to proceed through the intersection
- Navigate through the map to find the most efficient path to the required destination.
- Plan a path to the required goal state subject to static/dynamic obstacles and kinodynamic constraints
- Determine the throttle angle, brake, and steering angle required to track the reference path through the intersection



Correct

Correct, these steps are crucial for safe behaviour in an intersection.

3. What is the primary output of a behavioural planning module?

1 / 1 point

- The driving maneuver to be executed in the current environment
- A sequence of waypoints that correspond to a feasible, collision-free trajectory
- The throttle, brake, and steering angle values required for tracking the reference trajectory
- The sequence of road segments to be traversed to reach the destination



Correct

Correct, this is how the planner outputs the desired behaviour.

4. Which of the following are common inputs to the behavioural planner?

1 / 1 point

A mission plan



Correct

Correct, this guides the behavioural planner's goal states.

A default path in the current lane to follow

Localization information



Correct

Correct, this lets us know where we are in the map.

High definition roadmap



Correct

Correct, this is helpful for localizing other agents, and for map-aware prediction.

5. Which of the following are a disadvantage of using finite state machines for behavioural planning?

1 / 1 point

- As the number of states decreases, it becomes more computationally complex to evaluate state transitions
- As the number of states increases, it becomes increasingly complicated to define all possible transition conditions
- Finite state machines can only handle uncertainty when there are many states available
- None of the above



Correct

Correct, this grows exponentially as we add more states.

6. Which portion of the intersection best describes when the ego vehicle is on the intersection?

1 / 1 point

- The interior of the intersection
- The lane exiting the intersection
- The lane preceding the intersection
- None of the above



Correct

Correct, by our definitions in Lesson 2 of Module 5 on Handling an Intersection Scenario Without Dynamic Objects.

7. Which of the following can increase the size of the "approaching", "at", and "on" zones of an intersection?

1 / 1 point

The size of the intersection



Correct

Correct, as the size of the intersection increases, the size of the intersection zones increases accordingly.

The number of dynamic obstacles present

The speed of the ego vehicle



Correct

Incorrect. Please refer to Lesson 2 of Module 5 on Handling an Intersection Scenario Without Dynamic Objects to review this material.

The size of the ego vehicle

8. For a 2-lane, 4-way intersection, which of the following maneuvers are absolutely required?

1 / 1 point

Stop



Correct

Correct, this behaviour is necessary for any intersection.

Merge to lane

Decelerate to stop



Correct

Correct, this behaviour is required for any intersection.

Track speed




Correct

Correct, this behaviour is required to make forward progress.

9. For this question, let us use our finite state machine discussed in Module 5 Lesson 2. Suppose the car has

1 / 1 point

entered the "Stop" state while at the intersection. Which of the following is the correct transition condition for the vehicle to enter the "Track Speed" state?




- Ego.Position == Approaching
- Ego.StopTime < 3.0 sec
- Ego.Velocity >= 0
- Ego.StopTime >= 3.0 sec

✓ Correct
 Correct, we are required to remain at a complete stop before moving again.

1 / 1 point

10. For this question, let us use our finite state machine discussed in Module 5 Lesson 2. Suppose the car has entered the "Track speed" state before reaching any zone of the intersection. Which of the following is the correct transition condition for the vehicle to enter the "Decelerate to Stop" state?



- Ego.Velocity >= 0

- Ego.Position != Approaching
- Ego.Position != Approaching
- Ego.Position == Approaching
- Ego.StopTime < 3.0 sec

✓ **Correct**
Correct, if we are approaching an intersection we need to decelerate.

11. Which of the following are the key aspects of dynamic objects that we focus upon in behavioural planning?

1 / 1 point

- Distance to collision point

✓ **Correct**
Correct, this is useful for computing time to collision.

- Maximum velocity

- Time to collision

✓ **Correct**
Correct, this influences our behaviour with the dynamic object.

- Distance to dynamic object

✓ **Correct**
Correct, this is useful for determining the relevance of a dynamic object.

12. Which of the following best describes the "Follow Leader" maneuver?

1 / 1 point

- When a lead vehicle is performing a lane change, we wait until it is safe and follow them into the adjacent lane
- In a safe and comfortable manner, decelerate to a complete stop to avoid the leading vehicle
- Accelerate to the speed of the lead vehicle, passing the lead vehicle if they are below our reference speed
- Follow the speed of, and maintain a safe distance from the lead vehicle

✓ **Correct**
Correct, this is according to our definition in Lesson 3 of Module 5 on Handling an Intersection Scenario With Dynamic Objects.

13. True or false, using the state machine developed in L2, when the ego vehicle is in the "Stop" state when in

1 / 1 point

13. True or false, using the state machine developed in L3, when the ego vehicle is in the "Stop" state when in the presence of dynamic obstacles, it should transition to the "Track Speed" state after 3 seconds have elapsed.

- True
- False



Correct

Correct, it can proceed if the intersection is clear, and 3 seconds have elapsed.

14. True or false, using the state machine developed in L3, suppose the ego vehicle is "at" the intersection, and is currently in the "Stop" state and 3 seconds have elapsed. Suppose the only dynamic obstacle is "on" the intersection has a heading of 180 degrees relative to the ego heading, and suppose the ego vehicle intends to drive straight. Which state will the state machine transition to?

- Follow Leader
- Stop
- Decelerate to Stop
- Track Speed



Correct

Correct, the dynamic obstacle is heading in the opposite direction of the ego vehicle, and thus does not interfere with the ego vehicle's desire to proceed straight. Since 3 seconds have elapsed, it will transition to "Track Speed".

15. True or false, using the state machine developed in L3, suppose the ego vehicle is "at" the intersection, and is currently in the "Stop" state and 3 seconds have elapsed. Suppose the only dynamic obstacle is "on" the intersection has a heading of 180 degrees relative to the ego heading, and suppose the ego vehicle intends to turn left. Which state will the state machine transition to?

- Track Speed
- Follow Leader
- Stop
- Decelerate to Stop



Correct

Correct, the dynamic obstacle is heading in the opposite direction of the ego vehicle, and will interfere with the ego vehicle's desire to turn left. Even though 3 seconds have elapsed, it will remain in the "Stop" state.

16. Which of the following are disadvantages of using a single state machine to handle multiple scenarios?

1 / 1 point

- The amount of computation time required at each step

✓ **Correct**
Correct, many different conditions will need to be checked at each step.

- Rule explosion when adding new scenarios to the state machine

✓ **Correct**
Correct, transition rules grow exponentially with the number of states.

- Complicated to create and maintain all possible cases

✓ **Correct**
Correct, analyzing all possible transitions with a single state machine can grow to be intractable.

- Not able to handle a small set of scenarios

17. True or false, an example of a hierarchical state machine in the behavioural planning context involves superstates representing each potential scenario and substates representing the maneuvers to be handled in each scenario.


1 / 1 point


- True
- False

✓ **Correct**
Correct

18. Following the hierarchical state machine introduced in Module 5 Lesson 4, if we are exiting the intersection and we are currently in the "Intersection Scenario" superstate, which substates of the "Intersection Scenario" will allow us to change to a different superstate?

1 / 1 point





- Decelerate to Stop
- Stop
- Follow Leader



Correct

Correct, while performing lead vehicle speed tracking we can transition to a different super state.

- Track Speed



Correct

Correct, while performing nominal speed tracking we can transition to a different super state.

19. True or false, the hierarchical state machine is immune to the effects of rule explosion.

1 / 1 point

- True
- False



Correct

Correct, while the hierarchical state machine can allow for its designer to add more complexity to the system, it is still affected by rule explosion as there are many duplicative transitions in each superstate's state machine.

20. True or false, the hierarchical state machine limits the amount of computation time at each time step by restructuring the search space more efficiently.

1 / 1 point

- True
- False




Correct

Correct


21. Which of the following are some issues with the state machine approaches presented in Lessons 1-4?

1 / 1 point

- State machines are unlikely to handle situations that have not been explicitly programmed


 **Correct**
Correct, they do not generalize well to unforeseen scenarios.

- The state machines discussed are only able to handle noise in very limited situations

 **Correct**
Correct, in general the state machines we discussed cannot handle noise.

- There is no method to handle multiple scenarios when using state machines


- The number of hyperparameters required increases as the behaviours get more complex, and inputs get more noisy

 **Correct**
Correct, the complexity of computation grows quickly as the number of desired behaviours increases.

22. What is an advantage of rule based systems over state machines?

1 / 1 point


- Rule based systems can handle multiple scenarios
- Rule based systems do not duplicate transitions, as rules can apply throughout significant portions (or all of) the ODD
- Rule based systems do not require as much attention as state machines do, as rules do not impact one another
- None of the above

 **Correct**
Correct, this results in higher planning efficiency.

23. True or false, fuzzy logic systems are more robust to environmental noise than traditional discrete systems, such as a finite state machine.

1 / 1 point

- True
- False

 **Correct**
Correct, they can handle a wider range of inputs and as a result are more robust to noise.

24. True or false, reinforcement learning involves clustering unlabeled data to inform the behavioural planner on the best course of action in each scenario.

1 / 1 point

True

False



Correct

Correct, reinforcement learning is a form of machine learning in which an agent learns how to interact with a given environment by taking action and receiving continuous rewards.

25. Which of the following are some of the shortcomings of reinforcement learning approaches for behavioural planning?

1 / 1 point

It is challenging to perform rigorous safety assessment or safety guarantees of learned systems, as they are largely black boxes



Correct

Correct, the policies learned by reinforcement learning are often not human-interpretable

The model simplicity used for reinforcement learning means the results transfer poorly to real-world scenarios



Correct

Correct, to remain tractable reinforcement learning models are often too simple for what is required in the real world.

Reinforcement learning do not generalize well to scenarios that weren't explicitly programmed

Reinforcement learning is unable to handle continuous variables, such as the distance to a dynamic obstacle, and these are commonly used in behavioural planning